## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ROBERT S. STES	KAL,	)		
	Plaintiff,	)	Civil No.	04-6158 <b>-</b> TC
V.		)	ORDER	
BENTON COUNTY,	et al.,	)		
	Defendants.	) )		

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on October 3, 2005, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.,

656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), <u>cert</u>. <u>denied</u>, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given <u>de novo</u> review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed October 3, 2005, in its entirety. Defendant's motion for summary judgment (#60) is allowed. This action is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this

day of

2005.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE